

**Research Administration
REPORT FORM**

1. Author(s): Gary A. Maddux
2. Principal Investigator: Keith Crowe & Ginger Demirjian
3. Report Title: Integrated Product Development Tools
Integration and Development
4. Contract Title: Integrated Product Development Tools
Integration and Development
5. Agency (Name, Address, Contact): AMCOM
6. Agency Contract Number: DAAH01-98-D-0006, Delivery Order 72
7. Date of Report: July 1999
8. School/Organization: Research Institute
Department:
9. Department Report Number:
10. Subject Area;
- a. IPPD
 - b. Internet
 - c.
 - 1.
 - 2.
 - 3.

11. May this report be released to the public? YES

YES NO

Comments:

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

20000502 092

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE Jul-99	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report: 22 Jun 95 to 30 Apr 96	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Integrated Product Development Tools Integration and Development			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Gary A. Maddux				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Univ. of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, AL 35899			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 5-34687	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AMSAM-RD-SE-PE (BRIAN DAVIS) U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE A	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The Production Engineering Division (PED) of the System Engineering and Production Directorate (SEPD), Research, Development and Engineering Center (RDEC) was identified as the U.S. Army Missile Command's (MICOM) proponent for Integrated Product Development (IPD). As the Command's focal point it became apparent that a Command IPD system was needed to aid programs with the implementation and conduct of IPD. In an effort to develop such a system a Missile IPD Demonstration was proposed and the results of this task fed directly into the demonstration and the eventual Command IPD system. This report documents work performed on this effort.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS IPPD, Internet			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 2	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BLOCK BELOW

DAO# _____

☐ _____ copies are being forwarded. Indicate whether Statement A, B, C, D, E, F, or X applies.

☒ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A:
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B:
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES
ONLY; (indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS
DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C:
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND
THEIR CONTRACTS (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS
FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D:
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD AND U.S. DoD CONTRACTORS
ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO
(Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E:
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD COMPONENTS ONLY; (Indicate
Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F:
FUTHER DISSEMINATION ONLY AS DIRECTED BY (Indicate Controlling DoD Office
and Date) or HIGHER DoD AUTHORITY.

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X:
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES
AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTERPRISES ELIGIBLE TO OBTAIN EXPORT-CONTROLLED
TECHNICAL DATA IN ACCORDANCE WITH DoD DIRECTIVE 5230.25. WITHHOLDING OF
UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL DATA FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, 6 Nov 1984 (indicate date of
determination). CONTROLLING DoD OFFICE IS (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ This document was previously forwarded to DTIC on _____ (date) and the
AD number is _____.

☐ In accordance with provisions of DoD instructions. The document requested is not supplied because:

☐ It will be published at a later date. (Enter approximate date, if known).

☐ Other. (Give Reason)

DoD Directive 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents," 18 Mar 87, contains seven distribution statements, as described briefly above. Technical Documents must be assigned distribution statements.


16 July 99
Authorized Signature/Date

GARY A MADDUX
Print or Type Name

256 890 6343 x223
Telephone Number

Technical Report 5-33897
Contract No. DAAH01-92-D-R006
Delivery Order No. 72

Integrated Product Development Tools
Integration and Development
(5-33897)

Final Technical Report for Period
22 Jun 1995 through 30 April 1996

July 1999

Prepared by:

Gary A. Maddux

Research Institute
The University of Alabama in Huntsville
Huntsville, Alabama 35899

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898
Attn.: Mr. Brian Davis

PREFACE

This technical report was prepared by the staff of the Research Institute, The University of Alabama in Huntsville. The purpose of this report is to provide documentation of the work performed and results obtained under Delivery Order 72 of MICOM Contract No. DAAH01-92-D-R006. Mr. Keith Crowe and Ms. Jennifer Demirjian were the principal investigators. Mr. Brian Davis, Production Engineering Division, Systems Engineering and Production Directorate, Research, Development, and Engineering Center, U.S. Army Missile Command, provided technical coordination.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision unless so designated by other official documentation.

Except as provided by the Contract Data Requirements List DD Form 1423, hereof, the distribution of any contract report in any state of development or completion is prohibited without the approval of the Contracting Officer.

Prepared for: Commander
U.S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

I have reviewed this report, dated 15 July 99 and the report contains no classified information.


Principal Investigator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	OBJECTIVES	1
3.0	STATEMENT OF WORK	1
4.0	DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED	2
5.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2

1.0 Introduction

The Production Engineering Division (PED) of the System Engineering and Production Directorate (SEPD), Research, Development and Engineering Center (RDEC) was identified as the U.S. Army Missile Command's (MICOM) proponent for Integrated Product Development (IPD). As the Command's focal point it became apparent that a Command IPD system was needed to aid programs with the implementation and conduct of IPD. In an effort to develop such a system a Missile IPD Demonstration was proposed and the results of this task fed directly into the demonstration and the eventual Command IPD system.

2.0 Objectives

The objective of this task was to provide the necessary engineering management analysis and support through the allocation of resources for the development and integration of IPD tools into the Missile IPD Demonstration. The demonstration initiated the establishment of a command IPD system.

3.0 Statement of Work

The statement of work, as outlined in delivery order 72, was as follows:

3.1 Integrated Product Development Tools Integration

UAH shall investigate existing tools and methodologies in use within the Missile Command (including prime contractors) that would support an IPD development philosophy. The types of tools would include, cost modeling, analysis, simulations, scheduling programs, budget databases, materials databases, and process databases. With this information and information collected through the IPD Demonstration, UAH shall integrate the existing tools/methodologies and develop any necessary software required for the integration into the demonstration.

3.2 Advanced Integrated Product Development Tools

UAH shall investigate the use of advanced tools to support the IPD development philosophy. Tools to be investigated include, CAD/CAM, advanced manufacturing modeling, quality function development (QFD), system engineering tools, virtual analysis, and management techniques. Based on the results of the investigation (cost, hardware/software requirements, ease of use, etc.) UAH shall make recommendations for incorporation of selected tools into the Missile IPD Demonstration.

4.0 Description of Work Performed

The work performed under this delivery order consisted of an investigation of the various tools and technologies in use within the MICOM and its prime contractors that support the use of IPD. In addition to moving to integrated product teams (IPTs), the IPD philosophy encourages continual learning and the use of tools and technologies that enhance their efficiency and effectiveness. This research effort reviewed numerous tools and technologies that can assist the IPT in addressing a number of life cycle concerns early in the design process.

The primary output of this research was an integrated set of Internet-based tools that allowed the team to communicate more easily. Communication has been identified as one of the key drivers to facilitate collaborative engineering. The Internet was chosen as the primary vehicle of communication because of its widespread and growing usage.

The Missile IPD Toolset developed under this task included an action item database, a collaborative team calendar, an IPT organization chart, and other smaller components. The toolset was fully tested used both real and fictitious data, and it has been implemented to support existing IPTs.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

During the time frame allocated by the delivery order, members of the UAH Applied Research Program, with the cooperation of representatives from MICOM SEPD, performed an analysis and evaluation of communication requirements to support IPPD. The successes of this task have led directly to the incorporation of Internet-based application software for a number of MICOM project offices. The testbed that was developed under this statement of work remains in operation in the Manufacturing Technology Division